

1300 Eye Street N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005  
Telephone: 202.659.0100  
Facsimile: 202.659.0105  
www.novakdruce.com

**Novak Druce DeLuca  
& Quigg, LLP**

# Fax

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

**DEC 06 2005**

<b>To:</b>	<b>USPTO – Mail Stop Amendment</b>	<b>From:</b>	<b>Jason D. Voight</b>
<b>Fax:</b>	<b>(571) 273-8300</b>	<b>Pages:</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Phone:</b>	<b>(571) 272-1000</b>	<b>Date:</b>	<b>December 6, 2005</b>
<b>Re:</b>	<b>Attorney Docket: 43237</b>	<b>cc:</b>	

☐ Urgent    ☐ For Review    ☐ Please Comment    ☐ Please Reply    ☐ Please Recycle

◆ **Comments:**

In re Application of: **GOERTZ, et al.**

Serial No.: **10/510,475**

Filing Date: **October 7, 2004**

Attachments: **Reply of Office Action of September 6, 2005**

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This facsimile and any attachments contain information from the law firm of Novak Druce DeLuca & Quigg, LLP, which may be confidential and/or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this facsimile. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this facsimile is prohibited. If you receive this facsimile in error, please notify us by reply facsimile immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Appl. No. : 10/510,475  
Applicant : Goertz, Hans-Helmut et al.  
Filed : October 7, 2004  
TC/A.U. : 1711  
Examiner : Truong, Duc  
Docket No. : 53407  
Customer No. : 26474

Confirmation No.: 1545

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****DEC 06 2005**

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

**Certificate of Transmission**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being  
facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and  
Trademark Office, Fax No. (571) 273-8300 on  
December 6, 2005.

  
S. Peter Konzal**REPLY TO OFFICE ACTION**

Honorable Sir:

This Reply to Office Action is in response to the Office Action of September 6, 2005.  
Please reconsider the pending claims in view of the Remarks/Arguments, which begin on page  
2 of this paper.